Third Avenue Management
Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures

1. Corporate Governance Matters

a) Super-majority Voting

The requirement of a super-majority vote may limit the ability of shareholders to effect change. Accordingly, TAM will normally support proposals to eliminate super-majority voting requirements and oppose proposals to impose such requirements.

b) State of Incorporation

TAM normally opposes proposals seeking to reincorporate the corporation in a state TAM deems to be unfriendly to shareholder rights.

c) Confidential Voting

Confidential voting may increase the independence of shareholders by allowing voting free from exertion of management influence. This is particularly significant with respect to employee shareholders. Accordingly, TAM will normally support such proposals.

d) Barriers to Shareholder Action

TAM will normally support proposals to lower barriers to shareholder action and oppose proposals to raise such barriers. Proposals to lower these barriers may call for shareholder rights to call special meetings or to act by written consent. TAM will normally support proposals that create or expand rights of shareholders to act by written consent or to call special meetings.

e) Separate Classes of Common Stock

Classes of common stock with different voting rights limit the rights of certain shareholders. Accordingly, TAM will normally oppose adoption of one or more separate classes of stock with disparate voting rights.

f) Blank Check Preferred Stock

TAM normally will oppose proposals giving the Board of Directors rights to issue preferred stocks whose terms may be determined without shareholder consent.

g) Director Nominees

TAM reviews the qualifications of director nominees on a case-by-case basis. Absent specific concerns about qualifications, independence or past performance as a director, TAM normally approves management’s recommendations.
h) Shareholder Nomination of Directors

TAM normally supports proposals to expand the ability of shareholders to nominate directors.

i) Approval of Auditor

TAM normally supports proposals to ratify independent auditors, absent reason to believe that:
  - Fees for non-audit services are excessive; or
  - The independent auditor has rendered an opinion that is inaccurate and not representative of the issuer’s financial position.

j) Cumulative Voting

TAM normally opposes proposals to eliminate cumulative voting. TAM will consider proposals to institute cumulative voting based on the issuer’s other corporate governance provisions.

2. Equity-based Compensation Plans

TAM believes that equity-based compensation plans, when properly designed and approved by shareholders, may be an effective incentive to officers and employees to add to shareholder value. TAM evaluates proposals on a case-by-case basis. However, TAM will normally oppose plans (or plan amendments) that substantially dilute its clients’ ownership, provide excessive awards to participants, or have other inherently objectionable features.

TAM normally opposes plans where total potential dilution (including all equity-based plans) exceeds 15% of outstanding shares.

Note: This standard is a guideline and TAM will consider other factors such as the size of the company and the nature of the industry in evaluating a plan’s impact on shareholdings.

TAM will normally oppose plans that have any of the following structural features:
  - Ability to re-price underwater options without shareholder approval.
  - Ability to issue options with an exercise price below the stock’s current market price without shareholder approval.
  - Ability to issue reload options.
  - Automatic share replenishment feature.

TAM normally opposes plans not meeting the following conditions:
  - Shareholder approval should be required in order to make any material change to the plan.
  - Awards to non-employee directors should be subject to the terms of the plan and not subject to management or board discretion.

3. Measures Relating to Takeovers
a) Poison Pills

TAM will normally support proposals to eliminate poison pills and TAM will normally support proposals to subject poison pills to a shareholder vote.

b) Golden Parachutes

TAM normally opposes the use of accelerated employment contracts that may result in cash grants of greater than one times annual compensation (salary and bonus) in the event of termination of employment following a change in control.

c) Classified Board

Staggered terms for directors may make it more difficult to change directors and/or control of a board, and thus to change management. Accordingly, TAM will normally support proposals to declassify the Board of Directors and oppose proposals to adopt classified board structures unless a company’s charter or governing corporate law permits shareholders to remove a majority of directors any time with or without cause by a simple majority of votes cast.

d) Increases in Authorized Common Stock

TAM will normally support proposals that would require a shareholder vote in order to increase authorized shares of any class by 20% or more. Under normal circumstances, TAM will oppose proposals that would grant directors the authority to issue additional shares without providing preemptive rights to existing shareholders to the extent such an increase of shares exceeds 5% of the issuer’s outstanding capital.

e) Greenmail

TAM will normally support proposals to restrict a company’s ability to make greenmail payments.

f) State Anti-Takeover Statutes

TAM believes that state anti-takeover statutes generally harm shareholders by discouraging takeover activity. Accordingly, TAM will normally vote for opting out of state anti-takeover statutes.

4. Social Policy Issues

TAM believes that “ordinary business matters” are the responsibility of management and should be subject only to oversight by the Board of Directors. Typically, shareholders initiate such proposals to require the company to disclose or amend certain business practices. Although TAM normally does not support these types of proposals, it may make exceptions where it believes that a proposal may have substantial economic impact.

5. Abstention from Voting
TAM will normally abstain from voting when it believes the cost of voting will exceed the expected benefit to clients. The most common circumstances where that may be the case involve foreign proxies and securities out on loan. In addition, TAM may be restricted from voting proxies during certain periods if it has made certain regulatory filings with respect to an issuer. These situations are discussed in more detail below.

6. **Foreign Securities**

Depending on the country, numerous disadvantages may apply to voting foreign proxies. In many non-U.S. markets, shareholders who vote proxies may not be permitted to trade in the issuer’s stock within a given period of time on or around the shareholder meeting date. If TAM believes it may wish to buy or sell the security during the relevant period, it will abstain from voting. In other non-U.S. markets, travel to the foreign country to vote in person, translation expense or other cost-prohibitive procedures may lead TAM to abstain from voting. TAM may be unable to vote in certain other non-U.S. markets that do not permit foreign holders to vote securities. It is also possible that TAM may not receive proxy material in time to vote due to operational difficulties in certain non-U.S. markets, or that TAM may not otherwise receive sufficient timely information to make a voting determination.

7. **Securities Lending**

In order to vote securities out on loan, the securities must be recalled. This may cause loss of revenue to advisory clients. Accordingly, TAM normally will not vote loaned securities on routine matters that would not, in its view, be important to the value of the investment.

8. **Restrictions After Filing Form 13D**

If TAM has switched its beneficial ownership reporting with respect to any security from Form 13G to Form 13D, TAM may not vote or direct the voting of the securities covered by the filing until the expiration of the 10th day after the Form 13D was filed.

9. **Procedures**

TAM’s Legal & Compliance Department oversees the administration of proxy voting. Under its supervision, the Accounting or Operations Departments are responsible for processing proxies on securities held in client accounts where voting power has been granted to TAM.

10. **Monitoring for Upcoming Votes**

TAM’s Legal and Compliance Department relies on a proxy service provider and clients’ custodian to advise TAM of upcoming votes. Upon receiving information of an upcoming vote, the Legal & Compliance Department present proxies received to the Proxy Voting Committee.

11. **Proxy Voting Committee**
The Proxy Voting Committee, consisting of the Director of Research, Senior Portfolio Managers and Research Analysts, determine how proxies shall be voted by applying TAM’s policy guidelines. In virtually all instances, the Committee shall delegate the responsibility for making each voting determination to an appropriate member of the Committee who has primary responsibility for the security in question. A member of the Legal and Compliance department, the “designee”, shall participate in all decisions to present issues for a vote, field any conflict issues, document deviations from policy guidelines and document all routine voting decisions. The Proxy Voting Committee may seek the input of other TAM Portfolio Managers or Research Analysts who may have particular familiarity with the matter to be voted. Any exception to policy guidelines shall be documented in writing.

12. Submitting the Vote

The designee will then vote the shares held for clients in accordance with the decisions reached under the process described above. The designee shall then electronically, by telephone or via the mail (as appropriate under the circumstances) submit the vote on shares held for clients and save the documentation the shares have been voted.

13. Conflicts of Interest

Should any Portfolio Manager, Research Analyst, member of Senior Management, or other employee at TAM who may have direct or indirect influence on proxy voting decisions become aware of a potential conflict of interest in voting a proxy or the appearance of a conflict of interest, that person shall bring the issue to TAM’s GC. Examples of potential conflicts include:

a) A material client or vendor relationship between TAM (or an affiliate of TAM, including but not limited to any “access person” of TAM as defined under TAM’s Code of Ethics) and the issuer of the security being voted (or an affiliate of the issuer, including for this purpose any director, executive officer or 10% shareholder of the issuer).

b) TAM (or an affiliate of TAM, including but not limited to any “access person” of TAM as defined under TAM’s Code of Ethics) has representation on the Board of Directors of the issuer (or an affiliate of the issuer, including for this purpose any director, executive officer or 10% shareholder of the issuer) of the security being voted other than in TAM’s investment advisory capacity.

c) TAM (or any “access person” of TAM as defined under the Code of Ethics) has a personal, family or business relationship with any person in a significant relationship to the issuer of the security being voted. Persons in a significant relationship would include executive officers or directors or 10% shareholders of the issuer.

d) TAM’s clients own different classes of securities of the same issuer that may have different interests in the matter to be voted on.

When presented with an actual, potential or appearance of conflict in voting a proxy, TAM’s GC shall address the matter using one of the following methods, as deemed appropriate, or other
similar method designed to assure that the proxy vote is free from any improper influence:

- Determine that there is no conflict or that it is immaterial.
- Ensure that the proxy is voted in accordance with the policy guidelines stated above.
- Engage an independent third party to recommend how the proxy should be voted or have the third party vote such proxy.
- Discuss the matter with TAM’s CCO
  - Discuss the matter with the client and obtain direction on how to vote the client’s securities.

TAM’s GC shall document each potential or actual conflict situation presented and the manner in which it was addressed. In analyzing whether conflicts are material, TAM’s GC shall apply the following guidelines:

- Client or vendor relationships accounting for 2.0% or less of TAHD annual revenue will not be deemed material.

- In analyzing conflicts relating to representation on an issuer’s Board of Directors or a personal or family relationship to the issuer, the GC will consider the degree of direct or indirect influence that the person having the relationship may have on TAM’s voting process. Such situations involving TAM’s Senior Management, Portfolio Managers or Research Analysts in the affected issuer will normally be deemed material.

14. Recordkeeping

TAM shall maintain all required records relating to its voting determinations.

a) TAM shall maintain for five years (the first two in an easily accessible place) the following records relating to voting for client accounts:

- Proxy statements and other solicitation material received regarding securities held in client accounts (NOTE: Proxy statements and other materials available on EDGAR need not be maintained separately by TAM);
- Records of votes cast on behalf of the clients

b) TAM’s Legal & Compliance Department shall maintain for six years (two in an easily accessible place):

- Proxy voting policies and procedures;
- Written documentation supporting all exceptions to the policy guidelines; and
- Written documentation relating to any identified actual or potential conflicts of interest and the resolution of such situations.